

Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Detroit International Academy for Young Women

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading									
Grade: 06									
All Students	2009-10	100%	87.7%	72.1%	81%	33.3%	47.6%	9.5%	9.5%
Female	2009-10	100%	90%	77.9%	81%	33.3%	47.6%	9.5%	9.5%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	75.8%	72.1%	73.3%	20%	53.3%	13.3%	13.3%
Asian, Native	2009-10	<10	93.8%	90.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander									
White	2009-10	<10	91.1%	60.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	70%	74.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	59.9%	39.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	81.1%	71%	80%	30%	50%	10%	10%
Grade: 07									
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	59.8%	72.7%	21.2%	51.5%	18.2%	9.1%
Female	2009-10	100%	84.5%	63.5%	71.9%	21.9%	50%	18.8%	9.4%
Male	2009-10	<10	79.6%	56.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	64.4%	59.1%	76%	24%	52%	16%	8%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	89.9%	72%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	87.3%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited	2009-10	<10	54.3%	66%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Proficient									
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	48%	27%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.4%	58.4%	76.9%	23.1%	53.8%	15.4%	7.7%
Grade: 08									
All Students	2009-10	100%	83.4%	69.4%	81.5%	18.5%	63%	18.5%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	87.5%	74.8%	81.5%	18.5%	63%	18.5%	0%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	70.9%	68.6%	80.8%	19.2%	61.5%	19.2%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	76.5%	76.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	61%	76.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	48.6%	33.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	75%	67.8%	80%	20%	60%	20%	0%
Mathematics									

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Grade: 06									
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	54.5%	66.7%	42.9%	23.8%	19%	14.3%
Female	2009-10	100%	83%	57.1%	66.7%	42.9%	23.8%	19%	14.3%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	62.3%	53.4%	53.3%	20%	33.3%	26.7%	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	93.8%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	87.5%	48.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	68.7%	65.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.3%	27.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.5%	53%	65%	40%	25%	20%	15%
Grade: 07									
All Students	2009-10	100%	82.2%	53.7%	58.8%	17.6%	41.2%	41.2%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	82.6%	54.9%	60.6%	18.2%	42.4%	39.4%	0%
Male	2009-10	<10	81.7%	52.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	62.6%	52.9%	56%	16%	40%	44%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	93.2%	76.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	87.6%	52.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	66%	59.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	51.2%	30.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.4%	52.1%	59.3%	18.5%	40.7%	40.7%	0%
Grade: 08									
All Students	2009-10	100%	70.3%	39.5%	63%	25.9%	37%	29.6%	7.4%
Female	2009-10	100%	70.6%	39.8%	63%	25.9%	37%	29.6%	7.4%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	44.7%	38.2%	61.5%	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	59.1%	49.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited	2009-10	<10	50.2%	52.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Proficient									
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	31.6%	17.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	56.3%	37.3%	64%	28%	36%	28%	8%
Science									
Grade: 08									
All Students	2009-10	100%	75.9%	45.7%	51.9%	7.4%	44.4%	48.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	77%	46.9%	51.9%	7.4%	44.4%	48.1%	0%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	50%	44.1%	50%	7.7%	42.3%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	65%	57.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	48.3%	59.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	42.3%	19.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	63.1%	43.4%	52%	8%	44%	48%	0%

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading									
Grade: 11									
All Students	2009-10	99.1%	65.2%	37.1%	34.3%	0%	34.3%	40%	25.7%
Female	2009-10	99.1%	68%	40.4%	34.3%	0%	34.3%	40%	25.7%
Black or African American	2009-10	99%	37.5%	36.8%	36%	0%	36%	40%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	59.1%	27.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	74.9%	42.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	50.7%	41.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English	2009-10	<10	22.2%	37.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Proficient									
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	92.9%	23.6%	6.7%	23.1%	0%	23.1%	30.8%	46.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	98.8%	48.5%	31.8%	34.6%	0%	34.6%	38.3%	27.2%
Mathematics									
Grade: 11									
All Students	2009-10	99.1%	50.4%	15.8%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	9.5%	83.8%
Female	2009-10	99.1%	48.3%	15.8%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	9.5%	83.8%
Black or African American	2009-10	99%	16.4%	15%	7%	0%	7%	10%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	38.8%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	72.1%	34.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	33.8%	23%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	17.3%	21.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	92.9%	11.2%	1.1%	0%	0%	0%	7.7%	92.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	98.8%	30.4%	11.1%	7.4%	0%	7.4%	11.1%	81.5%

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Language Arts								
Grade: 11								

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
All Students	2009-10	<10	89.8%	67.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	90.8%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	81.9%	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.8%	37%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	65.3%	34.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	53.7%	35.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.8%	37.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	67.2%	34.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	51.5%	36.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Language Arts								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	76.8%	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	74.7%	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	81%	83.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	83.2%	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	78.7%	77.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	84%	87.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	77.7%	79.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	75.8%	72.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	77.2%	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to display.								

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No records to display.								

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Detroit International Academy for Young Women

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	96.6%	87.1%
Mathematics	95.9%	86.8%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	96.9%	0%
Mathematics	97.4%	0%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	96.5%	87%
Mathematics	95.8%	86.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.6%	0%
Mathematics	97.6%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	87.6%
Mathematics	99.2%	91.8%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.4%	94.9%
Mathematics	96.7%	92.6%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	97%	88.2%
Mathematics	96.8%	92.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	83.8%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	97.8%	86.2%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	134.7%	88.6%
Mathematics	136%	92.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	101.1%	61.2%
Mathematics	100.2%	67.2%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	105.8%	86.9%
Mathematics	105.2%	87.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100.7%	0%
Mathematics	101.3%	0%

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

* [AYP Targets \(Annual Measurable Objectives\)](#)

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students	
State	
	75.39%
District	
	59.65%
Black or African American	

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

State

56.59%

District

60.23%

American Indian or Alaska Native

State

65%

District

35.48%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

84.47%

District

60%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

Hispanic or Latino

State

59.94%

District

53.33%

White

State

81.85%

District

49.38%

Multiracial

State

71.12%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

Limited English Proficient

State

65.51%

District

61.88%

Students with Disabilities

State

57.61%

District

40.34%

Economically Disadvantaged

State

59.8%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

District

53.5%

Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)

All Students

State

94.7%

District

84.4%

Black or African American

State

91%

District

84%

American Indian or Alaska Native

**Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)**

State

93.7%

District

84%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

96.5%

District

88.2%

Hispanic or Latino

State

94.1%

District

88.4%

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
White	
State	
	95.7%
District	
	84.2%
Multiracial	
State	
	94.8%
Limited English Proficient	
State	
	94.6%
District	
	88.2%

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
Students with Disabilities	
State	93.5%
District	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	
State	94.8%
District	85.4%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

** More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---,00.html

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Detroit International Academy for Young Women

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Yes				No Grade	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Detroit

International Academy for Young Women

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	0	0	0	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	
	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Program Eligibility	43	36	47	16	1
Eligible	56	11	40	40	9
Not Eligible	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	12	42	39	17	2
Not SD	88	19	44	31	6
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	48	40	11	1
Not ELL	97	21	43	31	5

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Program Eligibility	38	50	37	12	1
Eligible	62	21	38	31	10
Not Eligible	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	75	22	2	1
Not SD	90	27	39	27	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	58	32	10	0
Not ELL	98	32	37	24	7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Program Eligibility	43	52	33	13	2
Eligible	57	24	36	31	10
Not Eligible	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available		‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	66	24	8	3
Not SD	90	32	36	25	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	65	26	9	1
Not ELL	97	35	35	24	7

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
National Lunch Program Eligibility	37	44	41	14	1
Eligible	62	18	42	36	4
Not Eligible	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	9	73	22	4	#
Not SD	91	23	43	30	3
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	60	33	8	#
Not ELL	98	27	42	28	3

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	82.08	2.771	81.98	2.786	91.89	3.063
	Reading	72.05	2.592	72.01	2.63	81.16	3.53
8	Math	76.39	2.561	76.21	2.578	93.13	4.12
	Reading	70.72	3.239	70.46	3.298	85.15	4.505