#### **Full Annual Education Report**

# School-Level Student Assessment Data for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bow Elementary School

#### **Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students	District % Students	School % Students	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient	% Not Proficient
			Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	(Level 4)
English Lang	guage Arts / R	Reading							
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	71.7%	63.9%	19.7%	44.3%	32.8%	3.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	89.8%	79.1%	74.4%	24.4%	50%	24.4%	1.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	74.6%	71.9%	25%	46.9%	28.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	82.6%	86.1%	36.1%	50%	13.9%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	68.7%	55.2%	13.8%	41.4%	37.9%	6.9%
Male	2009-10	100%	87.9%	75.6%	64.3%	14.3%	50%	33.3%	2.4%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	75.1%	72%	63.9%	19.7%	44.3%	32.8%	3.3%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	80.6%	78.9%	74.4%	24.4%	50%	24.4%	1.3%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	53.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	71%	58.8%	53.8%	7.7%	46.2%	46.2%	0%
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	79.1%	70.6%	67.9%	22.6%	45.3%	28.3%	3.8%
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	84.5%	78.3%	74%	23.4%	50.6%	24.7%	1.3%
Grade: 04									
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.8%	61.5%	81.8%	13%	68.8%	18.2%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	84.1%	64.3%	78.6%	26.8%	51.8%	16.1%	5.4%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.7%	64.6%	75%	16.7%	58.3%	25%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.1%	67.8%	93.9%	30.3%	63.6%	3%	3%
Male	2008-09	100%	80.9%	58.4%	87.8%	9.8%	78%	12.2%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	82.1%	60.8%	56.5%	21.7%	34.8%	34.8%	8.7%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	65.6%	61.7%	81.6%	13.2%	68.4%	18.4%	0%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	68.6%	64.5%	78.6%	26.8%	51.8%	16.1%	5.4%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
White	2008-09	<10	88%	58.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	34.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	58%	43.2%	76.9%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	15.4%
Economicall Disadvantage	•	100%	72.9%	59.9%	84.7%	16.9%	67.8%	15.3%	0%
Economicall Disadvantage	4	100%	75.7%	63.3%	78.6%	26.8%	51.8%	16.1%	5.4%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	57.1%	59.7%	9.7%	50%	23.6%	16.7%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	65.1%	75.7%	29.7%	45.9%	21.6%	2.7%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	60.7%	65.6%	9.4%	56.3%	18.8%	15.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	67.7%	85.3%	41.2%	44.1%	14.7%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	53.6%	55%	10%	45%	27.5%	17.5%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	62.5%	67.5%	20%	47.5%	27.5%	5%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	63.5%	57.4%	61.4%	10%	51.4%	24.3%	14.3%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	70.4%	65.2%	75.3%	28.8%	46.6%	21.9%	2.7%
White	2008-09	<10	86.9%	57.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	89.8%	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	49.2%	28.3%	0%	0%	0%	27.3%	72.7%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	57.6%	36.2%	54.5%	36.4%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	70.5%	55.2%	61.1%	9.3%	51.9%	22.2%	16.7%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	76.6%	63.8%	75%	30.9%	44.1%	22.1%	2.9%

Mathematics

Grade: 03

All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	74.8%	67.7%	29%	38.7%	32.3%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	85.6%	97.4%	51.3%	46.1%	2.6%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	74.7%	72.7%	30.3%	42.4%	27.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	86.3%	97.1%	54.3%	42.9%	2.9%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	75%	62.1%	27.6%	34.5%	37.9%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	84.8%	97.6%	48.8%	48.8%	2.4%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	78.9%	73.6%	67.7%	29%	38.7%	32.3%	0%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	87.7%	85%	97.4%	51.3%	46.1%	2.6%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	62.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	87.8%	78.6%	92.3%	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	85.7%	74.2%	66.7%	29.6%	37%	33.3%	0%
Economically Disadvantage		100%	91.9%	85.2%	97.3%	52%	45.3%	2.7%	0%
Grade: 04									
All Students	2008-09	100%	87.9%	71.7%	89.6%	20.8%	68.8%	7.8%	2.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	92.3%	78.5%	94.7%	36.8%	57.9%	5.3%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	87.9%	72.4%	85.7%	22.9%	62.9%	11.4%	2.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	92.9%	80.4%	97.1%	38.2%	58.8%	2.9%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	87.8%	71%	92.9%	19%	73.8%	4.8%	2.4%
Male	2009-10	100%	91.6%	76.7%	91.3%	34.8%	56.5%	8.7%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	73.8%	71.6%	90.8%	21.1%	69.7%	6.6%	2.6%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	82.3%	77.4%	94.7%	36.8%	57.9%	5.3%	0%
White	2008-09	<10	92%	70.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with	2008-09	<10	68.1%	46.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Disabilities									
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	80%	66.6%	100%	53.8%	46.2%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	4	100%	80.4%	70.3%	88.1%	18.6%	69.5%	8.5%	3.4%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	87.8%	78%	94.7%	36.8%	57.9%	5.3%	0%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	48.6%	77.8%	56.9%	20.8%	13.9%	8.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	79.5%	57%	60.3%	24.7%	35.6%	30.1%	9.6%
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	48.8%	78.1%	62.5%	15.6%	15.6%	6.3%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	57.3%	39.4%	12.1%	27.3%	42.4%	18.2%
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	48.5%	77.5%	52.5%	25%	12.5%	10%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	56.7%	77.5%	35%	42.5%	20%	2.5%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	55.2%	47.8%	78.6%	57.1%	21.4%	12.9%	8.6%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	62.5%	56%	61.1%	25%	36.1%	29.2%	9.7%
White	2008-09	<10	83%	53.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	84.3%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	46.9%	26.9%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	45.5%	45.5%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	52.8%	33.6%	72.7%	54.5%	18.2%	27.3%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	Í	100%	64.4%	46.9%	77.8%	55.6%	22.2%	13%	9.3%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	69.8%	56%	59.7%	22.4%	37.3%	29.9%	10.4%

Science

Grade: 05

All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	59.6%	72.2%	33.3%	38.9%	20.8%	6.9%
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	56.6%	90.4%	32.9%	57.5%	8.2%	1.4%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	62.5%	78.1%	43.8%	34.4%	15.6%	6.3%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	56.3%	81.8%	30.3%	51.5%	15.2%	3%
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	56.8%	67.5%	25%	42.5%	25%	7.5%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	56.9%	97.5%	35%	62.5%	2.5%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	61.8%	59.1%	71.4%	34.3%	37.1%	21.4%	7.1%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	59.6%	56.1%	90.3%	33.3%	56.9%	8.3%	1.4%
White	2008-09	<10	89.4%	60.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	87.5%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students	2008-09	100%	63.6%	36.2%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	63.6%	27.3%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
with Disabilities									
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	60.7%	36.6%	100%	18.2%	81.8%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantage	ď	100%	72.3%	57.4%	72.2%	31.5%	40.7%	22.2%	5.6%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	70.6%	55.4%	92.5%	31.3%	61.2%	7.5%	0%

# **Michigan Merit Examination (MME)**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	<b>Students</b>	District % Students Proficient	Students	% Advanced (Level 1)	Proficient	
No records to	display.							

### **MI-Access**

# **Functional Independence**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Langu	uage Arts							
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	82.8%	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	58.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	82.1%	53.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	80.2%	51.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.2%	53.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	81.3%	63.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	71%	54.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	75.4%	58.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04					•			
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.5%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	75.2%	51.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	84.2%	59.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Female	2009-10	<10	74.6%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.1%	67.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	75.5%	52.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	77.6%	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	69.4%	52.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05 All Students	2008-09	<10	83.1%	66%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	63.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	83.4%	58.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	81.9%	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83%	69.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	79.3%	64.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	76.5%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Mathematics

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	80.1%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	76.4%	50.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	76.7%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	71.4%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	81.7%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	78.9%	54%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	71.4%	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	69.3%	53.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	86.5%	64.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	85%	59.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	84.3%	62.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	83.4%	55.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	87.7%	65.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	85.9%	62.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	81.3%	64.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	76.2%	57.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	74.6%	41.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.1%	47.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	72.4%	31.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	65.4%	39.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	75.9%	47.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	66.9%	41.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	65.3%	48.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	59.6%	37.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	58%	30.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	57.7%	34.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	51.1%	21.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Male	2008-09	<10	60.6%	38.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	47.1%	36%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	48.2%	29.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10

# **Supported Independence**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

# **Participation**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

#### **MEAP-Access**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No records to display.								

# 2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bow Elementary School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	96.6%	87.1%
Mathematics	95.9%	86.8%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	89%
Mathematics	98.6%	89.7%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	96.5%	87%
Mathematics	95.8%	86.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	89.1%
Mathematics	98.6%	89.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	87.6%
Mathematics	99.2%	91.8%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.4%	94.9%
Mathematics	96.7%	92.6%
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	97%	88.2%
Mathematics	96.8%	92.3%
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	83.8%
Mathematics	97.8%	86.2%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	134.7%	88.6%
Mathematics	136%	92.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities		
State		

	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%		
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%		
District				
English Language Arts / Reading	101.1%	61.2%		
Mathematics	100.2%	67.2%		
School				
English Language Arts / Reading	102.3%	62.5%		
Mathematics	102.3%	69.6%		
State				
		T		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%		
	102.6% 102.5%	90.6% 91.1%		
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics				
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics				
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District	102.5%	91.1%		
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics  District  English Language Arts / Reading	102.5%	91.1%		
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics  District  English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%		

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access. \* AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students	
State	
75.39%	
District	
59.65%	
Black or African American	
State	
56.59%	
District	
60.23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
65%	
District	
35.48%	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
State	
84.47%	
District	
60%	
Hispanic or Latino	
State	
59.94%	
District	
53.33%	
White	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
State		
	81.85%	
District		
	49.38%	
Multiracial		
State		
	71.12%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	65.51%	
District		
	61.88%	
Students with Disabilities		

G	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
State		
	57.61%	
District		
	40.34%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	59.8%	
District		
	53.5%	
	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
All Students		
State		
	94.7%	
District		

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
84.4%	
School	
91.4%	
Black or African American	
State	
91%	
District	
84%	
School	
91.4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	
93.7%	
District	

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
84%	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
State	
96.5%	
District	
88.2%	
Hispanic or Latino	
State	
94.1%	
District	
88.4%	
White	
State	
95.7%	

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
District		
	84.2%	
School		
	94.6%	
Multiracial		
State		
	94.8%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	94.6%	
District		
	88.2%	
Students with Disabilities		

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)					
State					
	93.5%				
District					
	81%				
School					
	87.8%				
Economically Disadvantaged					
State					
	94.8%				
District					
	85.4%				
School					
	97.5%				

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

\*\* More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709 22875---,00.html

# **Michigan Annual AYP Objectives**

## Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### **Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics**

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

# 2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bow Elementary School

#### **School AYP Status**

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Yes	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	В	School Improvement	1

# December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Wayne RESA, Detroit City School District, Bow Elementary School

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	4	22	0
Qualifications of All				
Public Elementary and				
Secondary School				
Teachers in the School				

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers	0%
in the School with Emergency Certification	
	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary	0%
if electriage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary	070

# Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50		41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch					
Program Eligility	43	36	47	16	1
Eligible	56	11	40	40	9
Not Eligible	‡	<u></u>	<del>;</del>	‡	<u>.</u>
Info not available		•	•		
Race Ethnicity	71	1.4	42	27	
White Black	71 20	14 52	43 39	37	0
Hispanic	5		51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	‡	‡	<u> </u>	‡
Unclassified	1	÷ ÷	÷ ÷	÷ ÷	• <del>•</del>
Student classified as					
having a disability	12	42	39	17	2
SD	88	19	44	31	6
Not SD	00	17	77	31	O
Student is an English					
Language Learner			40	11	1
ELL	97	21	43	31	5
Not ELL					

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

#### **NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results**

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible	38 62	50 21	37 38	12 31	1 10
Not Eligible Info not available	† ‡	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>+</b>	* <del>*</del>
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1 ‡	23 68 38 11 ‡	40 27 45 30 ‡	29 4 15 31 ‡	8 1 2 28 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	75 27	22 39	2 27	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	58 32	32 37	10 24	0 7

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

### **NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results**

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #	52 24 ‡	33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	71 19 5 3 1	28 65 49 21 ‡	36 26 34 37 ‡	28 7 15 25 ‡	8 1 2 17 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	65 35	26 35	9 24	1 7

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

#### **NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results**

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male Female	51 49	33 23	42 41	23 32	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	37 62 ‡	44 18 ‡	41 42 ‡	14 36 ‡	1 4 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1	21 54 40 ‡ ‡ ‡	32 37 34 ‡ ‡	32 9 24 ‡ ‡	3 # 2 ‡ ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	73 23	22 43	4 30	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	60 27	33 42	8 28	# 3

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	82.08 72.05		81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8	Math Reading	76.39 70.72		76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505